To whom it may concern:

The Swiss Center for Biometrics Research and Testing (SCBRT) is part of the Biometrics Security and Privacy group at the Foundation of the Idiap Research Institute. The SCBRT is a FIDO Alliance Accredited Biometrics Laboratory (ABL). Under contract with Mobai², the SCBRT has evaluated the efficacy of the Mobai's face presentation attack detection (PAD) solution, in accordance with ISO/IEC 30107-3 evaluation protocols. The PAD performance of the product has been evaluated on two smartphones: iPhone 7 and Samsung S9, using Level B presentation attacks (PA). The product performed perfectly in this evaluation. This letter describes the evaluation and summarizes the main outcomes.

Target Of Evaluation (TOE): The TOE consists of two separate software components, a client and a server. The following components of the TOE have been evaluated in this study: client version 2.0.0 and server version 2.0.0.

Test Harness:

- iPhone 7, running iOS 14.1;
- Samsung S9, running Android 10; and
- a laptop computer, running Ubuntu version 20.04.

The client runs on each phone, captures face biometric samples and transmits each sample to the server, running on the laptop, via a wireless connection. The server processes the biometric samples and transmits each decision ('bona fide' or 'Presentation Attack') back to the client, which displays the result on the phone-screen. The bona fide subjects and the testers interact only with the client on each phone.

Scope of Evaluation: Presentation Attack Detection (PAD), using Level B attacks only. The Presentation Attack Instruments (PAI) have been created in accordance with ISO standards used to assess and triage the attack potential of presentation attacks (PA). Level B PAIs are attack instruments that can be created within a week, requiring some degree of expertise and technology.

PAIs of five Level B species have been used in this study. They are listed in Table 1. The PAI species have been defined in consultation with Mobai. However, the actual PAIs have been constructed by the SCBRT independently, without any involvement of Mobai.

PAI Species	Description
B1	Enhanced face photograph printed on glossy photo paper using inkjet printer
B2	Enhanced face photograph printed on matte photo paper using inkjet printer
B3	Enhanced face photograph printed on glossy photo paper using laser color printer
B4	Enhanced face photograph printed on matte photo paper using laser color printer
B5	Face video replayed on Apple iPad 2 tablet

Table 1: List of Level B PAI species used in this evaluation.

The face images used to create the PAIs here have been captured using a good quality camera, and have been digitally enhanced to mitigate the effects of printing and recapture.

The TOE has been evaluated using bona fide and PA transactions, where each transaction may consist of up to five attempts (each attempt being a single presentation) performed within a period of

¹The evaluation discussed in this letter is not related to FIDO certification.

²www.mobai.bio

30 seconds. The TOE records the result of each attempt of each transaction in a logfile.

Ten PAIs of each species have been used. For each species, five PAIs have been used to evaluate the TOE on the iPhone 7 and the remaining five have been used for PAs on the Samsung S9. The bona fide score-distribution has been constructed based on 10 subjects (five women and five men). Each subject performed five transactions on each phone. Thus, in total, 50 bona fide transactions and 125 Level B PA transactions (25 per PAI species) have been made on each phone. Note, that a given transaction may include several (up to five) attempts. Table 2 shows the total number of transactions and attempts for each phone. In particular, the table indicates that a single attempt was sufficient for each bona fide transaction.

Phone	Bona fide		Level B Presentation Attacks	
	Transactions	Attempts	Transactions	Attempts
iPhone 7	50	50	125	625
Samsung S9	50	50	125	625

Table 2: Number of transactions and attempts in this evaluation.

Evaluation Results: The performance of the TOE in this evaluation is quantified using several ISO metrics. On both phones the TOE achieved perfect results for all Level B PAIs. The performance-metrics of the TOE are summarized in Table 3.

Metric		iPhone 7	Samsung S9
BPCER	Bona-fide Presentation Classification Error Rate (%): the proportion of bona fide transactions incorrectly classified as PA	0	0
APCER	Attack Presentation Classification Error Rate (%): the proportion of PA transactions incorrectly classified as <i>bona fide</i>	0	0
BPNRR	Bona fide Presentation Classification Error Rate (%): proportion of bona fide transactions that did not result in a response from the TOE	0	0
APNRR	Attack Presentation Non-Response Rate (%): proportion of PAs of the same PAI species that produced no response from the TOE	0	0
APAR	Attack Presentation Acquisition Rate (%): proportion of PA transactions that did elicit a response from the TOE	100	100

Table 3: Performance metrics estimated for the TOE in this evaluation. For the Level B PAIs used in this evaluation (Table 1), the TOE achieved perfect results on both smartphones.

Sincerely yours,

Dr. Sébastien Marcel

Head

Biometrics Security and Privacy Group

Dr. François Foglia
Deputy Director
Idiap Research Institute

2 of 2